

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/812,861	VAN STEENKISTE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Katherine A. Bareford	1762	

All Participants:

Status of Application: after final

(1) Katherine A. Bareford.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. LaPrairie.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. LaPrairie agreed to an Examiner's Amendment to page 1 of the specification to place the Related Applications material at the beginning of the specification, rather than after the "Technical Field" in order to place the case in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Kate JBL
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)